UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,508	10/14/2005	Kenji Morimoto	OKUDP0137US	7432
51921 MARK D. SAR	7590 06/16/200 AALINO (PAN)	EXAMINER		
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP 1621 EUCLID AVENUE 19TH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44115			KHAN, ASHER R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/553,508	MORIMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ASHER KHAN	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma	arch 2009.					
	. · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	<del>-</del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· <u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/08/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In re page 7, lines 7-9, Applicants argue that Maruyama fails to teach or suggest a data processor including an inserting section for inserting identification information between the streams that have been acquired by a stream acquiring section.

In response the examiner respectfully disagrees. Maruyama discloses a data processor (Fig. 19) including an inserting section for inserting identification information between the streams that have been acquired by a stream acquiring section (Fig. 11, shows insertion of dummy pack 89 at the end of first video object unit 85; Col. 12 lines 56-63).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 19, 25 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification for "if the identification information has been detected, starting to decode the next unit without outputting the data in the unit". Specification only mentions that dummy data is output without being decoded (0077-0078).

Application/Control Number: 10/553,508 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 19-21, 23-26, 28-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,532,336 to Maruyama et al "Maruyama" in view of U.S. Patent Pub. 2008/0209300 A1 to Fukushima "Fukushima"

As to claims 19, 25 and 30, A data processing method comprising the steps of: a) acquiring a first stream (first video object unit 85, Fig. 11);

- b) if a second stream (second video object unit 85, Fig. 11), of which the data is discontinuous with the first stream (Fig. 11, Col. 12 lines 16-17), is acquired after the first stream, adding identification information (Dummy pack 89, Fig. 11) to the end of the first stream (Col. 12 lines 56-63);
- c) acquiring the second stream after the identification information (Col. 12, lines 29-31, seamless and non seamless playback);
- d) decoding the first stream, the identification information and the second stream in this order on the basis of a predetermined unit (Col. 27, lines 31-51; Col. 15, lines 6-39);
- e) determining whether or not the identification information is included in the unit to be decoded in the step (d) (Col. 27, lines 31-51; Col. 15, lines 6-39);

Maruyama does not expressly disclose if the identification information has been detected, starting to decode the next unit without outputting the data in the unit.

Fukushima discloses if the identification information has been detected, starting to decode the next unit without outputting the data in the unit (Detection of error packets and only outputting normal packets; 0100-0102).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Maruyama with the teachings of Fukushima. Motivation to combine would have been to replace dummy packet with error data so that data with errors is not played back. Playing of which may cause confusion to a viewer.

As to claims 20, 26 and 32, Maruyama and Fukushima as modified disclose everything claimed as applied in claim 19 above. In addition Maruyama discloses wherein the inserting section inserts a dummy packet as the identification information (Col. 12 lines 56-64), and wherein the dummy packet is replaced (Col. 15, lines 35-39). However Maruyama does packet with an error code.

Fukushima discloses Packet with an error code (0100-0102).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Maruyama with the teachings of Fukushima. Motivation to combine would have been to replace dummy packet with error data so that data with errors is not played back. Playing of which may cause confusion to a viewer.

As to claims 21 and 33, Maruyama and Fukushima as modified disclose everything claimed as applied in claim 19 above. In addition Maruyama discloses wherein the inserting section inserts the identification information into a point where the

streams acquired by the stream acquiring section have their stream data discontinued (Col. 26 lines 7-14 and Fig. 11).

As to claims 23, 28 and 35, Maruyama and Fukushima as modified disclose everything claimed as applied in claim 19 above. In addition Maruyama discloses wherein the predetermined unit is picture data (Col. 12, lines 33-40).

As to claim 24, Maruyama and Fukushima as modified disclose everything claimed as applied in claim 19 above. In addition Maruyama discloses wherein the stream is not split on the basis of the predetermined unit but on a different unit basis (Fig. 11, VOBU 85).

As to claims 29 and 31, Maruyama and Fukushima as modified disclose everything claimed as applied in claim 19 above. In addition Maruyama discloses wherein each of the first and second streams includes a number of units and a portion of a unit, and the first and second streams are split at the portions of the unit (Fig. 11).

5. Claims 22, 27 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,532,336 to Maruyama et al "Maruyama" in view of U.S. Patent Pub. 2008/0209300 A1 to Fukushima "Fukushima" in further view of U.S. Patent 6,823,131 B2 to Abelard et al. "Abelard"

As to claims 22, 27 and 34, Maruyama and Fukushima disclose everything claimed as applied in claim 19 above. However Maruyama and Fukushima do not expressly disclose wherein the stream is a transport stream.

Abelard discloses wherein the stream is a transport stream (Col. 3, lines 4—60).

Art Unit: 2621

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Maruyama and Fukushima as modified with the teachings of Abelard. Rationale to combine would have been that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,508 Page 7

Art Unit: 2621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ASHER KHAN whose telephone number is (571)270-5203. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks- Harold can be reached on (571)272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/A. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 2621